

Small Enterprises and Their Role in Achieving Economic and Social Development in Algeria: An Empirical Study on a Sample of Small Enterprises in the Province of M'Sila

Dr Warda Mezrag

Department of Management Sciences, Faculty of Economics, Commercial and Management Sciences,
Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'Sila, Algeria
Email: warda.mezrag@univ-msila.dz

Dr Salah Zabi

Faculty of Information, Communication, and Audiovisual Sciences
University of Constantine 3 - Salah Bounider Algeria
Salah.zabi@univ.constantine3.dz

Received: 19/04/2026

Accepted: 10/06/2026

Published: 23/09/2026

Abstract

This study investigates the role of small enterprises in fostering economic and social development in Algeria, with a particular focus on three fundamental strategic challenges: market dynamics and competition, financing constraints and access to capital, and professional training alongside competency development. To achieve the empirical objectives of this research, a quantitative descriptive-analytical approach was deployed on a representative sample of 60 small enterprise owners and managers within the Province of M'Sila, Algeria. Using structural statistical analysis (SPSS v26), including one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality tests, Pearson correlation matrices, and multiple regression models, the findings demonstrate a statistically significant overall capacity of small enterprises to overcome operational hurdles and drive socioeconomic progress ($F = 2.864$, $p < .05$, $R^2 = .133$). Notably, competency development and training emerged as the primary statistically significant determinant ($t = 2.191$, $p = .033$), underscoring human capital as the vital engine of entrepreneurial resilience. The study concludes with practical policy recommendations to reinforce institutional incubators, lower borrowing interest rates, and integrate localized capacity-building programs.

Keywords: *small enterprise, market and competition challenge, financing constraints, competency development, economic and social development, M'Sila province, Algeria.*

Introduction

The entrepreneurial spirit has experienced a profound resurgence globally across the turn of the twenty-first century. This revival has been propelled by the expanding volatility, complexity, and uncertainty that define modern business environments. Consequently, entrepreneurship has intersected critically with diverse macroeconomic domains, most notably local territorial development, employment generation, and the systematic mitigation of structural unemployment.

Small enterprises, as foundational pillars of the micro, small, and medium-sized enterprise (MSME) landscape, represent an exceptionally potent socio-economic policy instrument for mitigating pressing economic distortions. Specifically, their structural characteristics, labor-intensive operational methods, and adaptable capital configurations position them to absorb significant labor surpluses through dynamic job creation. These employment contributions expand progressively as enterprises transition across their developmental life cycles—migrating from micro-scale entities to small and medium enterprises. Consequently, fostering entrepreneurship and enterprise creation has ascended to the forefront of strategic priorities for national governments worldwide, regardless of their developmental maturity, serving as a cornerstone of forward-looking economic revitalization agendas.

In the wake of globalized trade flows and market-oriented structural transitions, small and medium enterprises have emerged as the paramount institutional vehicle for mobilizing private initiative. The strategic promotion of small enterprises has thus become an indispensable feature of contemporary socio-economic policies, particularly within resource-dependent economies seeking to transcend single-commodity reliance.

Problem Statement and Research Questions

Against this backdrop, the central research problem investigated in this study is formulated through the following overarching question:

How can small enterprises successfully overcome operational and strategic challenges to support the trajectory of economic and social development in the Province of M'Sila, Algeria?

To comprehensively address this core problem, the following sub-questions are examined:

- **1. Market and Competition:** How can small enterprises surmount the challenges of market dynamics and commercial competition to actively foster economic and social development in the Province of M'Sila, Algeria?
- **2. Financing Constraints:** How can small enterprises overcome capital access barriers and funding difficulties to contribute effectively to socio-economic development in the Province of M'Sila, Algeria?
- **3. Human Capital & Competencies:** How can small enterprises transcend the challenges of vocational training and competency development to support sustainable economic and social advancement in the Province of M'Sila, Algeria?

Research Hypotheses

To address the research questions, the following primary null and alternative hypotheses were formulated:

- **Primary Hypothesis (H1):** Small enterprises possess the capacity to overcome success-limiting challenges and actively support the trajectory of economic and social development in the Province of M'Sila, Algeria.

Under this primary hypothesis, three specific sub-hypotheses are derived:

- **Sub-Hypothesis 1 (H1.1):** Small enterprises have the potential to overcome market and competition challenges to contribute to economic and social development in M'Sila, Algeria.
- **Sub-Hypothesis 2 (H1.2):** Small enterprises have the potential to overcome financing and capital access constraints to contribute to economic and social development in M'Sila, Algeria.
- **Sub-Hypothesis 3 (H1.3):** Small enterprises have the potential to overcome vocational training and competency development hurdles to contribute to economic and social development in M'Sila, Algeria.

Significance of the Study

This investigation derives substantial significance from shedding rigorous empirical light on small enterprises and their developmental contributions within the Algerian economy. The Algerian macroeconomic framework has historically suffered from acute structural imbalances and excessive reliance on hydrocarbon export revenues. Small enterprises represent an essential diversification vehicle; yet, they confront substantial systemic obstacles, primarily intense market competition, stringent credit constraints, and deficits in managerial and technical competencies. Consequently, this study directs academic and policymaking attention toward this vital sector.

Research Objectives

The primary objectives of this study encompass clarifying the theoretical foundations of small enterprises, assessing their operational realities within the Province of M'Sila, identifying the structural requirements for their organizational upgrading, and articulating strategic mechanisms to ensure their long-term sustainability. Ultimately, this enables small enterprises to achieve entrepreneurial leadership while cushioning the domestic economy and national labor market against the vulnerabilities of external globalization.

Section I: Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

1. Evolution and Historical Foundations of Enterprise and Entrepreneurship

A thorough understanding of contemporary entrepreneurship requires tracking its historical evolution across distinct modes of economic organization, progressing from rudimentary household artisanal production to advanced modern entrepreneurial entities.

1.1 Rudimentary Artisanal and Household Production

The embryonic stage of enterprise was rooted in subsistence-oriented family production. This phase centered primarily on land cultivation and pastoral livestock breeding to satisfy

foundational physiological needs—food, apparel, and shelter. Production relied on hand-carved tools shaped by village elders, whose accumulated empirical knowledge guided domestic labor within familial compounds and agrarian fields. Social relations during this period were characterized by robust kinship cohesion, patriarchal deference, and intergenerational transmission of artisanal crafts such as tanning, leatherwork, and textile weaving. Over time, production migrated from household confines to localized craft workshops.

1.2 Emergence of Guild Enterprises and Craft Workshops

With growing artisanal concentrations and expanding aggregate demand, independent craftspeople coalesced into structured trade guilds and cooperative workshops. These entities addressed emerging urban labor surpluses by centralizing production under the supervision of senior master craftsmen ('Maître'). These workshops introduced structured division of labor and workplace hierarchy—spanning the master craftsman, the skilled journeyman ('Compagnon'), and the novice apprentice ('Apprenti'). However, craft guilds gradually deteriorated due to three fundamental factors: the rise of independent non-guild artisans, resistance by apprentices against rigid guild hierarchies, and the eventual capital accumulation of merchant masters who converted workshops into commercial entities.

1.3 The Domestic System and Merchant Capitalism

The decline of craft guilds catalyzed the rise of the domestic putting-out system, functioning as a transitional bridge between cooperative craft guilds and industrial factory capitalism. Mercantile capitalists circumvented guild restrictions by supplying raw materials directly to rural farming households, leveraging off-season labor to manufacture commodities. While rural households gained supplemental revenue, they became dependent on capitalist merchants who controlled supply chains and commercial sales, laying the groundwork for early manufacturing assemblies.

1.4 Simple Capitalist Cooperatives: The Early Manufactory

The concentration of commercial capital and rising consumer demand spurred the advent of early manufactories ('Manufactures'). Capitalists centralized numerous laborers under a single physical roof to enforce direct operational oversight. These early manufactories assumed two structural forms: (a) clusters of artisans practicing identical trades, and (b) diversified craft specialists collaborating to fabricate complex composite products (e.g., carriage manufacturing integrating blacksmiths, carpenters, and painters). This milestone marked a decisive turning point in capitalist labor organization and entrepreneurial oversight.

1.5 Enterprise in Industrial Economic Thought

The Industrial Revolution catalyzed deep structural transformations across European societies. Economic historiography highlights the transformative role of individual entrepreneurs who infused business activities with rationality, calculated risk-taking, and structural innovation. The traditional artisanal enterprise ('Entreprise Artisane') functioned primarily on principles of self-sufficiency ('Auto-suffisante'), seeking to ensure livelihoods for its members rather than maximizing net capital returns. Its organizational matrix comprised a single owner, a senior journeyman, and apprentices adhering to the operational paradigm: Human Labor + Tools + Raw Materials = Final Output. By the early 1970s, subsequent macroeconomic recessions and structural unemployment

shifted scholarly and governmental attention toward revitalizing small and medium enterprises as catalysts of job creation.

1.6 Startups and the Modern Innovation Ecosystem

In the contemporary economy, 'startups' represent specialized entrepreneurial entities with brief operating histories designed to establish highly scalable business models in rapidly evolving markets. Popularized globally during the dot-com era, startups diverge from conventional self-employment; while traditional small businesses seek stable livelihoods without aggressive scaling, startups aim for rapid expansion and exponential value creation. Despite facing heightened operational uncertainty and elevated mortality rates, successful cohorts yield transformative economic impact, occasionally achieving 'unicorn' valuations exceeding one billion US dollars.

2. Theoretical Foundations of the Entrepreneur and Entrepreneurship

2.1 Defining the Entrepreneur

The conceptualization of the entrepreneur has progressed across economic history. In medieval France, the term denoted individuals commanding collective undertakings; during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, it described bold agents navigating commercial risks and speculative ventures. In classical economics, Jean-Baptiste Say (1803) conceptualized the entrepreneur as the visionary agent who consolidates and coordinates factors of production (land, capital, and labor) to generate novel economic utility.

Subsequently, Joseph Schumpeter (1934, 1950) revolutionized entrepreneurial theory by defining the entrepreneur as the catalyst of 'creative destruction'—the innovator who introduces new goods, novel production methods, unexploited markets, alternative supply sources, or revamped organizational structures. Julien and Marchesnay further characterized the entrepreneur as an autonomous, self-efficacious, and resilient problem-solver who rejects routine and synthesizes vital market intelligence. In essence, the entrepreneur is an autonomous actor possessing the cognitive drive and operational capacity to translate abstract concepts into tangible, value-generating innovations.

2.2 Defining Entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurship is defined as the dynamic process of discovering, evaluating, and exploiting commercial opportunities through the creation of new organizational entities to generate socio-economic value. Economically, it represents a planned, systematic endeavor mobilizing human, financial, and physical resources. Sociologically, it constitutes a purposeful social entity embedded within its institutional environment, creating employment, advancing innovation, and elevating living standards.

Core Dimensions and Personality Characteristics of Entrepreneurship (Figure 1)						
•	Action-Oriented			Disposition		(Proactiveness)
•	High	Need	for	Autonomy	and	Independence
•	Tenacity	and	Persistence	in	Overcoming	Obstacles
•	Systematic		Innovation		and	Creativity
•	Stress	Tolerance		and	Ambiguity	Resilience

- High Physical and Cognitive Energy Levels
- Intensive Achievement Motivation (Need for Achievement)
- Robust Competitive Drive and Market Acumen

Source: Adapted from Ben Djemaa & Djarman (2017), p. 274.

3. Conceptualization of Small Enterprises

Within the continuum of firm sizes, clear conceptual distinctions exist between startups, micro-enterprises, small enterprises, and medium-sized firms. Broadly defined, small enterprises reflect the overarching socio-economic process of establishing and expanding small-scale businesses. Narrowly defined, they constitute discrete economic units satisfying specific quantitative metrics (employment counts, turnover, and asset ceilings).

In developing economies, the concept of the small enterprise evolved from analyses of the informal or parallel sector. Historically viewed as a marginal, transitional phenomenon that would eventually be absorbed by large-scale industrialization, the informal sector expanded following the exhaustion of top-down state-led industrialization and subsequent structural adjustment programs. As Philippe Hugon noted, the informal sector evolved into a primary lens for understanding southern economies, compelling policymakers and international financial institutions (e.g., the Bretton Woods institutions) to recognize its role as an employment buffer for the unemployed. Consequently, public policies pivoted from attempting to eliminate informal activities toward formalizing and upgrading them into viable small enterprises.

In developed economies, particularly within the European Union, the concept corresponds to 'very small enterprises' (TPE: Très Petites Entreprises) representing the lower echelon of the SME sector, frequently mobilized to reintegrate marginalized demographics (the long-term unemployed, ethnic minorities, and women) into active economic participation. Statistically, Algerian Law No. 01-18 governing SME promotion establishes explicit thresholds (Article 10) classifying SMEs based on employee headcount, annual sales turnover, balance sheet totals, and legal independence.

4. Institutional Support Ecosystem for Small Enterprises in Algeria

The Algerian state has instituted an extensive regulatory and institutional support apparatus to incubate, finance, and guide small enterprises:

- **National Agency for Youth Employment Support (ANSEJ / NESDA):** Tasked with counseling emerging entrepreneurs, structuring project financing, coordinating triangular bank credits, and disseminating technical and regulatory intelligence alongside maintaining project feasibility databases.
- **National Agency for Investment Development (ANDI / AAPI):** Successor to APSI following executive reforms, operating decentralized one-stop investment windows to evaluate capital investment proposals and grant fiscal exemptions.
- **National Agency for the Management of Microcredit (ANGEM):** Administers zero-interest loans (prêts d'honneur) for raw materials, provides technical guidance, and coordinates banking partnerships for micro-investors.

- **Facilitation Centers and Business Nurseries (Pépinieres d'Entreprises):** Provide localized administrative infrastructure, co-working premises, and technical support mechanisms during embryonic organizational phases.
- **Business Incubators:** Deliver integrated operational platforms providing physical infrastructure, business coaching, and connectivity between emerging ventures, established industrial corporations, universities, and specialized research centers.

5. Triad of Operational Challenges Confronting Small Enterprises

5.1 Market Dynamics and Competitive Pressures

Competition extends beyond market pricing to structure broader socio-economic interactions. Theoretical market equilibrium assumes pure and perfect competition characterized by homogeneous products, frictionless market entry and exit, and complete information symmetry. In real-world environments, however, small enterprises face severe market distortions. Emerging entrepreneurs—predominantly recent university graduates—often lack field experience, face severe information asymmetries regarding consumer purchasing behavior, struggle with technological digitization, and must compete against well-entrenched conglomerates marketing close substitutes.

5.2 Capital Scarcity and Financing Constraints

Financing constraints represent a critical structural impediment for small enterprises. Commercial lending institutions often exhibit credit rationing behaviors due to heightened perceived risk and collateral deficits among early-stage firms. While entrepreneurs initially rely on personal savings (informal self-financing), low domestic savings rates in developing economies severely limit self-funded expansion. External formal financing from commercial banks entails stringent collateral covenants and high borrowing costs, while institutional support loans often suffer from operational disbursement bottlenecks.

5.3 Vocational Training and Competency Development

Technological and economic shifts elevate continuous workforce training into a strategic necessity. According to Hamel and Prahalad (1990), organizational core competencies encompass harmonized technical knowledge and procedural skills that deliver distinct customer value and are difficult for rivals to replicate. Guy Le Boterf further conceptualizes competence as the operational mobilization of personal resources (theoretical knowledge, environmental understanding, and cognitive faculties) alongside environmental assets (machinery, informational flows, and communication channels).

Managing organizational competencies requires vertical alignment (integrating skills with corporate strategic vision) and horizontal coherence (aligning human resource recruitment, evaluation, and training). Small firms struggle acutely with predicting future occupational skill needs, balancing short-term operational demands against strategic long-term training, and managing inter-job mobility within small workforce teams.

6. Dynamics of Economic and Social Development

Economic development encompasses qualitative and structural expansions in productive capacities, shifting beyond single-dimension GDP expansion to generate substantive employment, poverty eradication, and equitable income distribution. Concurrently, social development

encompasses multidimensional policies enhancing collective well-being, public health, educational attainment, and cultural progress without compromising intergenerational equity.

Small enterprises contribute significantly to this dual developmental mandate across multiple operational vectors:

- **Labor Absorption and Low-Cost Employment Creation:** Capital-to-labor ratios in small enterprises are substantially lower than in capital-intensive heavy industries, enabling cost-effective job creation for semi-skilled and uncredentialed labor cohorts.
- **Domestic Savings Mobilization:** Small enterprises convert idle domestic savings and modest family capital reserves into active productive assets.
- **Export Diversification and Supply-Chain Integration:** Operating under satellite subcontracting arrangements (comparable to Japanese industrial keiretsu networks), small firms act as feeder suppliers to major industrial enterprises.
- **Territorial Balance and Rural Stabilization:** Their geographical dispersion cushions peripheral regions, moderating rural-to-urban migration flows.
- **Socio-Cultural Inclusivity and Gender Empowerment:** Small artisanal craft ventures absorb high proportions of female labor, enhancing household living standards and fostering collaborative vocational values.

Section II: Empirical Methodology and Field Investigation

To evaluate the structural relationships between the challenges confronting small enterprises and their developmental contributions within the Province of M'Sila, Algeria, a quantitative descriptive-analytical approach was employed. Primary data were gathered via a structured field survey administered to small business owners and managers.

1. Target Population and Sample Selection

The target population comprises all registered small enterprises operating within the Province of M'Sila, estimated to exceed 10,000 active enterprises. A simple random sampling method was implemented, yielding a sample of 60 small enterprise owners and managers possessing adequate educational backgrounds to comprehend and evaluate the survey items. A total of 60 questionnaires were administered and fully recovered without attrition, yielding a 100% valid response rate for statistical computation.

2. Instrument Validity and Reliability Analysis

To establish face validity, the survey instrument was submitted to an academic arbitration panel comprising five faculty specialists in marketing and management at the University of M'Sila. The instrument was refined in accordance with their methodological feedback to ensure clarity, linguistic precision, and conceptual alignment.

Instrument reliability and internal consistency were assessed using Cronbach's Alpha coefficient. In empirical social sciences, an alpha value exceeding .60 indicates acceptable internal consistency. As reported in Table 1, the reliability coefficient across the 19 core items measuring the challenges confronting small enterprises reached .630, confirming instrument reliability for inferential testing.

Table 1

Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Coefficients for Survey Axes

Study Axis / Dimension	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha (α)
Challenges Facing Small Enterprises	19	0.630
Economic and Social Development	--	Validated

Note. Computed from primary field survey data via SPSS v26.

3. Statistical Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

3.1 Normality Testing: One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

To verify whether the collected data meet the assumptions required for parametric inferential statistics, a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was executed. As delineated in Table 2, the asymptotic significance values (2-tailed) across all study variables exceed the standard threshold of .05 ($x_1 = .058$, $x_2 = .076$, $x_3 = .200$, $y = .168$). This confirms that the data are normally distributed, justifying the application of parametric regression and correlation techniques.

Table 2

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Normality Test

Statistical Parameters	x1 (Market)	x2 (Finance)	x3 (Training)	y (Development)
Sample Size (N)	60	60	60	60
Normal Parameters: Mean	1.6583	2.2750	2.0542	1.9896
Normal Parameters: Std. Dev.	0.44141	0.65208	0.61941	0.49750
Extreme Differences: Absolute	0.151	0.180	0.138	0.104
Extreme Differences: Positive	0.151	0.180	0.138	0.104
Extreme Differences: Negative	-0.093	-0.084	-0.080	-0.081
Test Statistic (Z)	0.162	0.145	0.113	0.104
Asymptotic Sig. (2-tailed)	.058	.076	.200	.168

Note. x1: Market & Competition; x2: Financing Constraints; x3: Competency & Training; y: Economic & Social Development. Sig. > .05 denotes normal distribution.

3.2 Correlation Matrix Analysis

Pearson bivariate correlation coefficients were computed between the respective challenges and economic and social development. The results are displayed in Table 3.

Table 3

Pearson Correlation Matrix Between Small Enterprise Challenges and Socio-Economic Development

Dimensions of Enterprise Challenges	Pearson Correlation (r)	Significance (Sig.)
Dimension 1: Market Dynamics and Competition	0.202	.122
Dimension 2: Financing and Capital Scarcity	0.088	.508
Dimension 3: Training and Competency Development	0.276*	.033

Note. * Statistically significant at the $p < .05$ level; ** Statistically significant at the $p < .01$ level.

The correlation analysis demonstrates positive coefficients across all dimensions (0.202, 0.088, and 0.276). Notably, the relationship between training/competency development and socio-economic advancement is statistically significant ($r = .276$, $p = .033$), highlighting human capital development as the primary internal capability associated with developmental outcomes.

3.3 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis and Primary Hypothesis Testing

To test the overarching research hypothesis, multiple linear regression analysis was performed with the three operational challenge dimensions as independent predictors and economic and social development as the dependent criterion variable. The decision rule specifies that if the calculated F-statistic exceeds critical values at $p < .05$, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis (H_1).

Table 4

Multiple Regression Analysis and ANOVA Summary for Study Variables

Model Component	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	R ²
Regression	1.943	3	0.648	2.864	.045*	.133
Residual / Error	12.660	56	0.226	--	--	--
Total	14.603	59	--	--	--	--
Constant (Intercept)	B = 2.093	SE = .703	t = 2.979	--	.004**	--
Market & Competition (x1)	B = 0.166	Beta = .147	t = 1.236	--	.222	--
Financing Constraints (x2)	B = 0.103	Beta = .135	t = 1.182	--	.242	--
Training & Competency (x3)	B = 0.208	Beta = .259	t = 2.191	--	.033*	--

Note. Dependent Variable: Economic and Social Development. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$. Multiple correlation coefficient $R = .365$; Coefficient of determination $R^2 = .133$.

As evidenced by Table 4, the overall regression model is statistically significant ($F = 2.864$, $p = .045$). Consequently, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, confirming the primary alternative hypothesis (H_1): small enterprises in the Province of M'Sila demonstrate the capacity to navigate operational challenges and support economic and social development. The coefficient of determination ($R^2 = .133$) reveals that the triadic challenges account for 13.3% of the variance observed in socio-economic development, with the remaining 86.7% attributable to extraneous environmental variables and unmodeled macroeconomic factors.

3.4 Sub-Hypotheses Testing and Discussion

Evaluating the individual regression parameters yields nuanced insights regarding each sub-hypothesis:

- **Evaluation of Sub-Hypothesis 1 (Market and Competition):** The partial regression coefficient for the market and competition dimension yielded a t-value of 1.236 with a p-value of .222 ($p > .05$). Because the significance level exceeds the conventional .05 alpha threshold, Sub-Hypothesis 1 is not supported. This indicates that while small enterprises contend with intense competition, market rivalry alone does not exhibit a statistically significant direct contribution to local developmental outcomes in the sample under review.
- **Evaluation of Sub-Hypothesis 2 (Financing Constraints):** The partial regression coefficient for financing constraints yielded $t = 1.182$ with $p = .242$ ($p > .05$). Consequently, Sub-Hypothesis 2 is not supported. This finding reflects the reality that capital access in developing contexts remains a structural bottleneck rather than a direct driver of developmental impact; credit availability is a necessary baseline condition rather than an active driver of organizational expansion.
- **Evaluation of Sub-Hypothesis 3 (Training and Competency Development):** The partial regression coefficient for vocational training and competency development yielded $t = 2.191$ with $p = .033$ ($p < .05$). This result is statistically significant, providing empirical confirmation for Sub-Hypothesis 3. Human capital enhancement and targeted managerial competencies represent the single most decisive factor enabling small enterprises in M'Sila to achieve organizational resilience and contribute actively to regional development.

Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

Small enterprises play a structural macroeconomic role in renewing national business ecosystems, fostering localized innovation, and generating employment. Historically viewed through peripheral lenses, small enterprises are recognized in contemporary economic thought as dynamic engines of economic growth and social cohesion. Within Algeria, they offer a vital mechanism for diversifying national output away from hydrocarbon dependency, absorbing labor market surpluses, and mitigating rural-urban migration disparities.

Nonetheless, the empirical findings from the Province of M'Sila indicate that small enterprises have yet to realize their full potential within the national development strategy. Structural financing hurdles, knowledge deficits regarding competitive market segmentation, and shortages in

advanced technical training continue to constrain their operational scope. Based on these empirical and theoretical insights, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

- **Targeted Financial Allocations:** Establish specialized credit lines and regional economic recovery funds tailored specifically to early-stage small enterprises with concessional borrowing rates and reduced collateral demands.
- **Institutional Mentorship & Technical Incubation:** Expand public support agencies (NESDA, ANGEM, AAPI) beyond bureaucratic loan approvals to deliver long-term post-creation coaching, technical diagnostics, and strategic mentorship.
- **Decentralized Inter-Provincial Coordination:** Empower municipal and provincial governing bodies (Wilaya administrations) to coordinate with central planning ministries, ensuring capital allocations match regional comparative advantages.
- **Pragmatic Policy Realism:** Formulate national entrepreneurship strategies grounded in empirical market realities to facilitate frictionless administrative and fiscal operating conditions for small businesses.
- **Subsidized Low-Interest Credit Facilities:** Restructure microfinance interest arrangements to relieve early financial distress and improve debt service capabilities for nascent ventures.
- **Regional Incubator Expansion:** Construct modern business incubators and fabrication laboratories (FabLabs) across M'Sila's municipalities, providing shared co-working spaces, industrial equipment, and peer-learning networks.

References

- Abdellaoui, M., & Salhi, N. (2012, April 18-19). *The role of traditional crafts and artisanal enterprises in economic and social development: The Algerian case* [Conference presentation]. National Conference on Strategies for Organizing and Supporting SMEs in Algeria, University of Ouargla, Algeria.
- Allam, O. (2017, December 6-7). *The role of small and medium enterprises in achieving social development in Algeria amidst operational difficulties* [Conference presentation]. National Conference on the Sustainability of SMEs, University of El Oued, Algeria.
- American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association (7th ed.)*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000165-000>
- Ben Djemaa, A., & Djarman, E. (2017). *Entrepreneurship center as a mechanism to activate SME creation among university students: A case study of Constantine University*. *Mila Journal of Research and Studies*, 5, 265-282.
- Boudella, Y., & Ben Tefat, A. (2013). *The role of micro-enterprise in economic and social development and its operational challenges* [Conference presentation]. National Conference on SME Support Strategies in Algeria, University of Ouargla, Algeria.
- Delobbe, N., Karnas, G., & Vandenberghe, C. (2003). *Évaluation et développement des compétences au travail [Evaluation and development of workplace competencies]*. Presses universitaires de Louvain.
- Hamel, G., & Prahalad, C. K. (1990). *The core competence of the corporation*. *Harvard Business Review*, 68(3), 79-91.

- Khodri, T., & Ammari, A. (2013, May 5–6). *Entrepreneurship as a remedy for university graduate unemployment: A case study of Batna University students* [Conference presentation]. National Conference on the Realities and Prospects of the Financial Accounting System in Algerian SMEs, University of El Oued, Algeria.
- Le Boterf, G. (2002). *Développer la compétence des professionnels: Principes, démarches et outils* [Developing professional competence: Principles, approaches, and tools] (4th ed.). Éditions d'Organisation.
- Lounissi, R. (2015). *Social barriers to entrepreneurial practice in Algeria: A case study of the complex of industrial equipment in Batna* [Master's thesis, Department of Sociology, University of Sétif, Algeria].
- Mesghouni, M. (2013). *Competency management and distinguished competitive performance of small and medium enterprises in Algeria* [Doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Ouargla, Algeria].
- People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. (2001). *Law No. 01-18 of December 12, 2001, concerning the guidance law for the promotion of small and medium-sized enterprises*. Official Gazette of the Algerian Republic, No. 77.
- Rahmani, I. (2017). *Private sector entrepreneurship and its relationship to workplace community development: A field study of private enterprises in Bouira* [Doctoral dissertation, Department of Sociology, University of Batna, Algeria].
- Rihane, E., & Boumoud, I. (2013). *The SME financial exchange as an innovative funding mechanism: Highlighting the opening of the Algiers stock exchange floor to SMEs* [Conference presentation]. Badji Mokhtar University, Annaba, Algeria.
- Say, J.-B. (1803). *Traité d'économie politique, ou simple exposition de la manière dont se forment, se distribuent, et se consomment les richesses* [A treatise on political economy; or the production, distribution, and consumption of wealth]. Crapelet.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The theory of economic development: An inquiry into profits, capital, credit, interest, and the business cycle*. Harvard University Press.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1950). *Capitalism, socialism and democracy* (3rd ed.). Harper & Row.