

The Impact of Political Liberalization on Trade Unionism in Algeria: 1989–2009

Benmalek Mohammed ELHassen¹

Ennoui Djilali²

Submitted: 23/05/2026

Accepted: 20/08/2026

Published: 11/09/2026

Abstract

This study examines the structural transformation of Algerian trade unionism following the political liberalization and constitutional pluralism inaugurated by the 1989 Constitution (1989–2009). Transitioning from a state-monopolized framework, the research utilizes a dual-methodological approach combining case study analysis and group theory to evaluate how legal reforms (notably Law 90-14) catalyzed labor pluralism. Despite the proliferation of over 50 autonomous syndicates alongside the historical General Union of Algerian Workers (UGTA), the findings reveal that true union autonomy remains severely constrained. The state continues to execute institutional discrimination, withholding macro-level dialogue from independent unions while maintaining a symbiotic patronage network with the UGTA. Furthermore, the authorities deploy co-optation tactics and engineer parallel clone unions (*syndicats clones*) to disrupt grassroots mobilization and enforce compliance. Ultimately, the paper demonstrates that political openness created a facade of pluralism that failed to deliver structural democratization, holding trade union activism hostage to state political agendas. To overcome this systemic crisis, the study advocates for legislative modernization, the decoupling of union activism from state patronage, and the democratization of social dialogue.

Keywords: Political Liberalization, Trade Unionism, Labor Pluralism, UGTA, Independent Syndicates, Algeria, Social Dialogue, Co-optation, Clone Unions, Democratic Transition.

Introduction

Since 1989, the Algerian trade union arena has witnessed a relative pluralism and a surge in union activism, characterized by the emergence of numerous independent syndicates across various sectors. This shift aligned with a broader political liberalization that facilitated the rise of pluralistic, mobilization-capable political parties, alongside a free and diverse press.

¹ b.medhassen@univ-adrar.edu.dz; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5221-9624>

² ennoui.djilali@ufc.dz; <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1020-8365>

However, this transition was short-lived; it lacked the structural elements required to exert a transformative impact on the political regime. Consequently, its outcomes remained constrained, failing to induce any substantial structural shift within the Algerian political system or its geopolitical map.

Despite the country's ostensible political openness, the Algerian authorities persist in withholding official recognition from numerous syndicates, notwithstanding their repeatedly demonstrated grassroots integration and institutional resilience. Furthermore, despite their formidable presence in the labor arena, their pivotal role in mobilizing industrial actions, and their clear appeal among the working-class strata, the government restricts its negotiations with these organizations to narrow, localized demands. The state systematically refuses to grant them the status of full social partners in comprehensive, macro-level negotiations, while selectively elevating alternative entities as its exclusive interlocutors in such systemic dialogues.

Study Objectives:

This study aims to investigate the empirical reality of trade unionism in Algeria a practice that, for decades prior to the transition toward political liberalization, remained monopolized by a single, monolithic umbrella organization structurally subordinate to the state apparatus.

Consequently, this research seeks to trace and analyze the structural transformation experienced by Algerian trade unionism under the auspices of political openness.

Furthermore, it examines the contemporary reality of union activism in Algeria, scrutinizing the persistent challenges and systemic impediments that continue to hinder its efficacy.

Research Problem (Problematique):

The core problem of this study stems from the deep-seated, systemic crises plaguing trade unionism in Algeria, notwithstanding more than two and a half decades of political liberalization. This predicament underscores the following central research question: What is the impact of political liberalization on trade union activism in Algeria?

This overarching inquiry generates several sub-questions:

- Did political liberalization give rise to patterns of favoritism and structural discrimination among Algerian labor unions?
- Has political openness failed to provide the necessary breakthrough required for the autonomous exercise of union activism in Algeria?
- Did political liberalization impose a novel paradigm on contemporary trade union practices in Algeria?

To address these inquiries, the study posits the following hypotheses:

- Political liberalization did not preclude the emergence of state-sponsored favoritism and structural discrimination among competing labor unions in Algeria.
- Political openness has fallen short of delivering the anticipated breakthrough for Algerian trade unions.

- Political liberalization has catalyzed the emergence of a new paradigm within Algerian trade union practices.

Research Methodology:

Given the intricate nature and interconnected components of this study, confining the analysis to a single methodological framework proves highly challenging, as no single approach can comprehensively encapsulate its empirical nuances. Consequently, this study adopts a dual-methodological approach, synthesizing two distinct frameworks:

- **The Case Study Method:** This approach entails an intensive, holistic examination of a single bounded system to provide a nuanced and comprehensive overview of the phenomenon. In this research, the empirical focus is directed at the Algerian context. The objective is to delve deeply into this single case to extrapolate generalized findings that may apply to analogous contexts, or, at the minimum, to construct a comprehensive paradigm for understanding this specific case.
- **Group Analysis Method:** The concept of a "group" denotes an aggregation of two or more individuals who share distinct normative values regarding specific objects, alongside exhibiting symmetrical social roles. This methodology is utilized to analyze and interpret the internal structure, systemic functions, and operational mechanisms of the collective. Such a framework aligns seamlessly with the core subject of this study, given that trade unions are, inherently, structured interest groups.

Study Structure: To address the central research question and validate the formulated hypotheses, this study is structured into two primary sections:

- **Section I: The Conceptual Framework of Political Liberalization and Trade Unionism.** The first subsection delineates the conceptual parameters of political liberalization and political pluralism. The second subsection traces the historical genesis of trade unions, defining the concept from both linguistic and terminological perspectives, subdivided into two distinct branches.
- **Section II: Dynamics of Trade Union Transformation Under Political Liberalization.** This section scrutinizes the structural shifts within union activism following the inception of political openness and the constitutional transition to political pluralism. It evaluates the impact of new legislation and regulatory frameworks that reshaped the trade union landscape. Furthermore, it analyzes the contemporary dilemmas and systemic impediments plaguing trade unionism within this liberalized environment.

Section I: The Conceptual Framework of Trade Unionism and Political Liberalization

This introductory section establishes the conceptual parameters underpinning the core variables of this study, structured as follows:

Subsection I: The Concept of Political Liberalization and Political Pluralism

In the wake of the global transformative waves that spanned the late 1970s and persisted throughout the 1980s and 1990s culminating in the epochal collapse of the Eastern Bloc and the

dissolution of the Soviet Union; the international arena witnessed a sweeping paradigm shift toward democratization. This systemic transition, which initially swept through the former communist states, profoundly resonated within Algeria. Consequently, the country embarked on a trajectory of political liberalization and political pluralism, which can be conceptualized as follows:

Branch I: The Concept of Political Liberalization (Political Openness)

Political liberalization denotes a structural paradigm shift within the architecture of a political system, transitioning from an authoritarian, closed, or monolithic single-party regime to an open, pluralistic framework. Within this pluralistic structure, civil society actors; such as political parties, associations, and trade unions, encompassing diverse ideological and doctrinal orientations play a paramount role. This transition decompresses the public sphere, legally enabling individuals and collective groups whose orientations diverge from the established ruling coalition to mobilize, self-organize, and articulate their political preferences and discourse within an institutionalized statutory framework.

Furthermore, political liberalization entails expanding the political opportunity structure for the democratic opposition, providing enhanced arenas for contestation and strengthening its capacity to vie for institutional power. In the absence of an organized, institutionalized, and overt democratic opposition that shares foundational democratic norms and operates under a baseline systemic consensus, pluralism cannot consolidate. Even if such pluralism exists superficially, its survival remains precarious; unless this institutionalized opposition is institutionalized within emerging democracies; the trajectory of pluralistic openness remains inherently fragile.

Consequently, the failure to consolidate this transition threatens a reversion to antecedent forms of authoritarian monism, or in a more perilous systemic deviation precipitates a slide into a novel form of totalitarianism. Such a counter-regression often manifests as a radicalized regime that leverages religious, ethno-nationalist, or chauvinistic doctrines to legitimize its extremism.

Ultimately, political liberalization is fundamentally operationalized as a dynamics of transition and reform. It transcends legacy institutional constraints to democratize political practices and institutionalize the political participation of diverse social and political forces.

Branch II: The Concept of Political Pluralism

Political pluralism denotes the coexistence of multiple political forces, along with their institutional right to articulate their preferences and actively participate in the political decision-making process. This concept fundamentally comprises three key dimensions:

- The recognition of societal diversity, heterogeneity, and variance arising from multiple spheres of affiliation within a unified overarching national identity.
- The institutional respect for this diversity and the acceptance of its resultant divergence in opinions, beliefs, and structural interests.
- The codification of this diversity and divergence by establishing appropriate frameworks for free expression. This institutional setting prevents the escalation of conflicts that could jeopardize national unity and societal stability, while simultaneously providing an effective mechanism for the peaceful and constitutional rotation of power.

Furthermore, the paradigm of political pluralism presupposes the legitimacy of diverse political forces and ideological viewpoints, guaranteeing their right to peaceful coexistence, self-articulation, and systemic influence over public policy. It represents an acknowledgment that structural diversity inherently engenders conflicting interests and priorities. Within this context, pluralism serves as a regulated statutory framework designed to manage such divergence, ensuring it does not devolve into systemic violence or existential threats to the stability and survival of the state.

As a contemporary concept in political science, political pluralism signifies the structural recognition of societal heterogeneity driven by cross-cutting cleavages within a shared national identity. It commands reverence for this diversity and dictates the acceptance of its concomitant variance in doctrines, languages, interests, lifestyles, and priorities. Consequently, it requires the creation of institutional channels that facilitate autonomous expression while precluding sub-national conflicts that undermine social cohesion.

In this light, political pluralism is conceptualized as the tangible, empirical manifestation of public liberties. It empowers various sociopolitical actors to engage the electorate, positioning the public as the ultimate arbiter of political legitimacy; in the absence of this dynamic, substantive democracy cannot materialize.

Concurrently, the *Arab Philosophical Encyclopedia* defines political pluralism as a configuration wherein sovereignty is shared among disparate collective entities rather than being monopolized by a single faction. It posits that governance must be distributed among distinct groups, ensuring that no single regime, organization, or clique establishes hegemonic control. Instead, multiple groups cooperate in executing state functions. By rejecting the monopolization of sovereignty and elevating the role of collective actors, pluralism functions as a theory that emphasizes the role of intermediary groups; such as political parties, trade unions, and civil society organizations in checking state power, securing individual liberties, and preventing single-party hegemony.

Furthermore, the *Oxford Companion to Politics* delineates political pluralism as one of the most technical concepts in political science. It elucidates the structural form of governance and state architecture, particularly within liberal democracies, by focusing on the decentralization and distribution of power. This configuration enables an array of political, economic, and social interest groups to exercise oversight and participate in institutional governance a structural model dominant across Western Europe, the United States, and Japan.

Ultimately, political pluralism can be defined as a social system characterized by the coexistence of multiple groups with diverse political, ideological, and ethnic orientations. It underscores the critical necessity of political openness and institutional autonomy for maintaining a diversified and independent constellation of political and economic institutions.

Subsection II: The Concept of Trade Unions and Labor Activism

Trade unions are fundamentally conceptualized as a distinct category of interest groups (pressure groups). Their historical genesis traces back to Europe during the onset of the Industrial Revolution and the subsequent rise of the capitalist and socialist economic systems, both of which catalysed and accelerated the institutionalization of labor organizations.

Concurrently, labor activism represents the core vanguard and struggle-oriented mission of union organizations, aimed at safeguarding the material, economic, and moral rights of the workforce. The efficacy of this activism is intrinsically contingent upon the existence of a democratic environment. Furthermore, it relies on the union's capacity to mobilize and recruit the largest possible segment of the workforce, given that the institutional leverage and bargaining power of any trade union are directly derived from the strength and density of its electoral and structural representation.

Branch I: The Genesis and Definition of Trade Unions

To establish a rigorous foundational framework, it is methodologically imperative to briefly trace the historical genesis of trade unions before delineating their linguistic and terminological definitions, conceptualized as follows:

First: The Historical Genesis of Trade Unions

Trade unions initially emerged within capitalist societies as a direct manifestation of the structural class struggle between two antagonistic social strata: the bourgeoisie (owners of the means of production) and the proletariat (the working class). This systemic conflict spanned economic, political, and ideological dimensions, through which the working class consolidated into an influential social force. Consequently, trade union organizations naturally evolved as a strategic, vanguard mechanism to counter capitalist exploitation.

This institutional evolution was precipitated by a wave of industrial actions, strikes, and labor uprisings across Europe, aimed at securing equal rights and legal recognition for worker organizations. These early movements were frequently characterized by political violence, as exemplified by the *Luddite* uprisings in the Midlands (Great Britain, 1811) and the *Canuts* revolts in the Croix-Rousse district of Lyon (France, 1831).

In their nascent stage, trade unions functioned primarily as "friendly societies" or clubs within localized areas. In these spaces, workers gathered to deliberate on shared social grievances and pool mutual aid funds to support members migrating in search of employment. By the turn of the nineteenth century, formalized trade unions began to crystallize alongside these friendly societies. Concurrently, craft unions (or trade unions encompassing diverse occupations) eclipsed traditional professional clubs in structural importance. These early craft unions organized workers across multiple trades under a unified banner.

Subsequently, the term "trade union" was adopted to characterize the pioneering, early-stage attempts to aggregate workers within a specific industry, or to mobilize the entire labor force under a single collective front efforts that manifested earliest in Great Britain and France.

Second: The Definition of Trade Unions

- **Linguistic Definition:** In the Arabic language, the term "*Niqabah*" (نقابَة) is etymologically derived from the word "*Naqeeb*" (نقيب), which signifies the leader, trustee, custodian, and guarantor of a group or community.
- **Terminological and Legal Definitions:**

Tracing the concept within French jurisprudence, Article 411 (Paragraphs 1 and 2) of the French Labor Code defines a trade union as:

"An association established exclusively by individuals exercising the same profession, similar trades, or allied crafts, dedicated to the study and defense of the collective and individual material, economic, and moral rights and interests of the persons covered by their bylaws."

Concurrently, British Labor Legislation conceptualizes Trade Unions as:

"Any organization whether temporary or permanent the principal objects of which are, under its constitution, the regulation of relations between workers and employers, or between workers and workers, or the imposition of restrictive conditions on the conduct of any trade or business, and the provision of benefits to its members."

To synthesize a precise, comprehensive definition of a trade union, several foundational, structural elements must be underscored:

1. **Voluntary and Autonomous Nature:** The trade union organization must exist as a voluntary association, established through free and independent association, entirely insulated from structural subordination or dependency on state authorities.
2. **Multi-Faceted Mandate:** The organization is vested with a multifaceted institutional mandate, extending from the defense of its members' immediate socioeconomic interests to the broader responsibility of their socio-professional advancement and their robust representation vis-à-vis counter-social forces and public authorities.
3. **Dual Strategic Function:** The operational scope of a trade union is not restricted solely to an adversarial or contentious posture against employers; rather, it must also encompass a cooperative dimension, actively contributing to the formulation and execution of national macro-policies across professional, social, and economic spheres.

Accordingly, a trade union can be defined as an autonomously constituted organization of workers, established through the exercise of free association, to operate with the explicit purpose of defending its members' interests, advancing their socio-professional conditions, and articulating their grievances at both the professional and national levels through mechanisms of industrial contestation and institutional participation.

However, for its operational framework, this study subscribes to a specific conceptualization, defining the trade union as a voluntary organization of workers or employers aimed at achieving the material, economic, and moral demands of its constituency, executed in accordance with a strategic policy grounded in a clearly defined reference framework.

Branch II: Labor Activism (Trade Union Activism)

Labor activism encompasses the constellation of institutional roles and functions executed by trade unions, specifically manifested in the monitoring and management of issues directly impacting the socio-professional welfare of workers. These include working hours, occupational health and safety, wages, and social security. Fundamentally, this activism is oriented toward mitigating exploitation and precluding encroachments upon workers' established rights and socio-economic achievements. Consequently, it frequently manifests defensively through instruments of industrial action, such as strikes; workers resort to strikes as a collective counter-response to

intensifying exploitation and various structural mechanisms designed to erode their purchasing power.

However, the operational scope of labor activism is not restricted to an adversarial or contentious posture against management and employers. It must concurrently possess a cooperative dimension that actively contributes to the formulation of national macro-policies across professional, social, and economic spheres. This engenders a dynamic intersection between trade union activism and political action within the broader matrix of a political strategy, wherein the union strategically aligns its struggles with public policy objectives.

Nevertheless, it is methodologically imperative to delineate labor activism from political action. The latter is inherently more holistic and expansive, as its primary objective is the systemic transformation of society, alongside the acquisition and consolidation of state power. Unlike union activism, political action transcends localized, sector-specific demands; it engages with society at large, either to preserve extant structures or to induce a radical transformation of the socio-political architecture.

Ultimately, labor activism operates as a democratic endeavor because it does not seek to create insular, particularistic privileges; rather, it aims to elevate the standard of living for the broader working-class masses. This intrinsic characteristic of trade unionism is validated even within advanced capitalist regimes, which institutionalize labor activism and trade union organizations as functional safety valves designed to defuse class conflict, thereby guaranteeing systemic political stability and social peace.

Section II: The Impact of Political Liberalization on Trade Union Practices

Undoubtedly, since Algeria attained independence, trade union activism remained systematically monopolized by the ruling political authorities.

Subsection I: The New Paradigm of Trade Unionism in Algeria

This subsection examines the altered paradigm and institutional environment enveloping trade union activism in Algeria following its departure from the constraints of political monism. Post-1989, labor activism transitioned into a novel framework shaped by emerging structural realities: the constitutional codification of political pluralism, and the enactment of new legislative frameworks and statutory texts. These dynamics ultimately reshaped the Algerian trade union landscape.

Branch I: The Institutionalization of Political Pluralism in Algeria

The pivotal events of October 1988 catalyzed a decisive trajectory toward political pluralism. In his address to the nation on October 10, 1988, President Chadli Bendjedid pledged to execute a comprehensive package of political reforms. Subsequently, on October 13, 1988, he announced a national referendum scheduled for November 3, 1988, which proposed a fundamental restructuring of the executive branch.

Consequently, the transition toward political pluralism in Algeria was operationalized through the referendum of November 3, 1988. This constitutional move reorganized the executive power,

establishing the office of a Prime Minister who, along with the government, would be accountable to Parliament rather than the ruling single party. This measure served as an indispensable precursor to implementing the broader structural reform agenda. However, the definitive turning point materialized with the promulgation of the Constitution of February 23, 1989.

This Constitution heralded the inception of political pluralism in Algeria. Its institutional framework synthesized principles from disparate constitutional doctrines: it adapted elements of the parliamentary system by establishing governmental accountability to the legislature and empowering the executive to dissolve Parliament; concurrently, it integrated aspects of the presidential model by affirming the principle of the separation of powers. Nevertheless, its most profound ideological shift resided in replacing legacy "revolutionary legitimacy" with constitutional legitimacy and Islamic principles as the foundational pillars of the state.

Crucially, while the 1989 Constitution functioned as the foundational scaffolding for political pluralism an institutional framework that, in isolation, remained insufficient; it nevertheless represented an explicit and systematic repudiation of the core tenants of the 1976 Constitution. It definitively institutionalized the pluralistic era in Algerian political history through several landmark structural reforms, most notably:

- **The Separation of Party and State:** The Constitution decoupled the ruling party from state institutions, effectively dismantling the National Liberation Front's (*FLN*) monopoly over electoral nominations across all levels, including trade union elections.
- **The Executive Realignment:** It introduced a bounded recalibration of presidential prerogatives; the President of the Republic relinquished the exclusive right to initiate legislation to the Head of Government, who was vested with distinct constitutional authorities vis-à-vis Parliament. However, from an empirical standpoint, this structural reduction remained largely nominal, constrained by the practical dynamics of governance in Algeria and the deep-seated configuration of the dominant political actors.
- **The Legalization of Political Associations:** Article 40 of the 1989 Constitution explicitly codified the right to establish associations of a political nature, formally repealing Article 94 of the 1976 Constitution, which had anchored the political system to a single-party monopolistic framework.
- **The Decompression of Labor Rights:** It abolished the legacy restriction that confined the right to strike exclusively to the private sector (Article 54 of the 1989 Constitution vis-à-vis Article 69 of the 1976 Constitution).
- **The Codification of Fundamental Liberties:** Chapter IV of the 1989 Constitution institutionalized an array of progressive provisions concerning human rights and public liberties. Consequently, the 1989 Constitution formally recognized the right of labor federations and unions to engage in industrial action, while reserving the power to restrict it within national defense and security apparatuses, as well as essential public services of general utility. Accordingly, Article 54 stipulates:

"The right to strike is recognized, and shall be exercised within the framework of the law... The law may prohibit the exercise of this right, or impose limitations thereon, within the domains of national defense and security."

This constitutional guarantee explicitly empowered the workforce to claim their socioeconomic rights, defend their professional interests, and leverage the strike as a legitimate instrument of collective bargaining and articulation.

Branch II: Trade Unions within the New Legislative and Regulatory Frameworks

The 1989 Constitution established a novel paradigm for trade unions and unlocked new horizons for labor activism. Article 53 explicitly stipulated that *"the right to form and join trade unions is recognized for all citizens;"* supplemented by Article 54, which affirmed that *"the right to strike is recognized."* Diverging radically from the 1963 Constitution, this framework introduced a transformative variable: political pluralism, which naturally catalyzed trade union pluralism, as reinforced by Article 40, which declared that *"the right to establish associations of a political nature is recognized."*

Consequently, this new framework afforded all social strata the possibility to self-organize freely and democratically. This transition necessitated adapting legacy labor laws to the new constitutional realities, expanding democratic mechanisms for genuine worker expression and representation, and forcing the structural alignment of the General Union of Algerian Workers (*UGTA*) with the newly enacted statutes. Within this context, a rapid succession of landmark legislative texts was promulgated in chronological order as follows:

- Law No. 90-02 (February 6, 1990): Concerning the prevention and settlement of collective labor disputes and the exercise of the right to strike.
- Law No. 90-03 (February 6, 1990): Concerning the Labor Inspectorate.
- Law No. 90-04 (February 6, 1990): Concerning the settlement of individual labor disputes.
- Law No. 90-11 (April 21, 1990): Concerning labor relations.
- Law No. 90-14 (June 2, 1990): Concerning the exercise of the right to form and join trade unions.

In continuity with this legislative trajectory, the constitutional amendments of 1996 consolidated these shifts, explicitly reinforcing the following foundational objectives:

- The institutionalization of fundamental liberties, chiefly among them the right to form and join trade unions.
- The entrenchment of authentic and democratic representation for workers' delegates.
- The devolution of the legislative function in labor matters, shifting regulatory power from the state to the immediate agents of production and economic actors via mechanisms of collective bargaining and collective agreements.
- The consolidation of social dialogue among the partners of production, aligning with Algeria's international obligations under the conventions of the International Labour Organization (*ILO*). Under this framework, both workers and employers possess the unalienable right to freely affiliate with syndicates, conditional upon compliance with extant statutory legislation.

Regarding the determination of the representativeness of trade unions; emended by Ordonnance No. 96-12, institutes dual criteria based on structural seniority and a minimum threshold of either registered members or the percentage of union members elected to the participation committee.

Concerning the requirements for bargaining representation, Article 123 of Law No. 90-11 stipulates that the negotiation of collective agreements must be conducted via joint, equal-member negotiation committees (*commissions paritaires*), comprising an equal number of representatives from labor unions and employers elected by their respective peers. The precise statutory conditions that confer legal representativeness upon the bargaining parties are delineated under Law No. 90-14, which establishes the following explicit prerequisites:

- **Minimum Operational Seniority:** Establishing a mandatory minimum duration of existence for the organization applicable to both workers' and employers' syndicates; which is legally fixed at no less than six months.
- **Workers' Representative Thresholds:** Requiring workers' trade unions to command a minimum membership density of 20% of the total salaried workforce covered by their bylaws, or alternatively, to hold a 20% representation within the participation committees when operating within a single enterprise.
- **Aggregated Representation and Contingencies:** Applying the same 20% threshold to federations, confederations, or the cumulative number of employers aggregated under employers' associations. Concurrently, the statute incorporates an institutional safeguard for exceptional representational contingencies: should no singular trade union satisfy the aforementioned representational thresholds, representativeness is guaranteed through delegates elected directly by the aggregate salaried workforce under predefined proportional quotas.

Furthermore, the legislation delineates the structural composition of the joint committee (*commission paritaire*) between representatives of labor unions and employers, requiring both parties to designate a delegation chair to serve as the official interlocutor. However, the statutory framework remains silent regarding the institutional mechanics of handling multi-union environments within a single enterprise; particularly when consensus is absent among competing syndicates leaving a legal vacuum as to how the presiding chair representing them should be designated.

Ultimately, there is no doubt that the political liberalization inaugurated by the 1989 Constitution officially recognized trade union rights and codified labor pluralism. It introduced a novel paradigm for contemporary trade union practices, aimed at transforming the syndicate into an arena for social regulation; conceived as an unalienable right for workers on one hand, and employers on the other. It legally empowers individuals belonging to the same profession, trade branch, or sector of economic activity to form independent trade union organizations to defend their material, economic, and moral interests, while guaranteeing absolute freedom of association.

Branch III: The Trade Union Landscape in Algeria

The trade union landscape in Algeria witnessed a significant structural expansion following the state's transition from authoritarian monism to political pluralism, which consequently institutionalized labor pluralism. Law No. 90-14 of 1990 dismantled the legacy monopolistic paradigm, legally empowering workers and civil servants to establish competing syndicates within a single profession. Consequently, representation was no longer restricted to the state-sanctioned general union, but rather evolved to encompass two primary blocs:

First: The General Union of Algerian Workers (UGTA)

The historical genesis of the General Union of Algerian Workers (UGTA) belongs to an earlier epoch of Algerian history, fundamentally embedded within the anti-colonial struggle for national independence. As a legacy national labor organization, the *UGTA* operates as a central Algerian syndicate, rejecting ethnic or religious discrimination; its membership is universally accessible to all workers in Algeria who subsist on the fruits of their labor, regardless of their sector of employment. The federation represents a mass labor coalition primarily dedicated to serving the Algerian working class, extending from agricultural and industrial laborers to civil servants and managerial cadres.

The *UGTA* consistently sought to assert its structural leverage and mobilizing capacity during critical historical junctures, most notably through its 1991 general strike, which served as a powerful signal to the ruling authorities to incorporate the union's reformist agenda into state policy. This leverage subsequently positioned the *UGTA* as a key guarantor of national political stability. The union actively participated in the ad-hoc defense and state committees of 1992, the National Consensus conferences of 1994, and all subsequent institutional initiatives aimed at consolidating national cohesion, culminating in the state's National Reconciliation (*La Réconciliation Nationale*) frameworks.

Second: Independent Trade Unions

Coinciding with the phase of political liberalization, Algeria witnessed the proliferation of numerous independent trade unions structurally autonomous from both the state apparatus and the *UGTA*. Propelled by the foundational activism of the student union movement, these autonomous syndicates expanded rapidly across the public sphere once pluralism was formally codified by the 1989 Constitution and operationalized through social legislations 90/14 and 90/02. This legal decompression catalyzed a competitive race to establish multiple autonomous unions within the same profession.

Driven by the severe degradation of the socioeconomic living conditions plaguing the middle class, this professional stratum mobilized to form independent syndicates specifically tailored to defend their sectorial, socio-professional demands. Consequently, approximately 53 trade unions were established from 1989 to the present day, though only a limited number of these organizations have managed to maintain structural resilience and operational continuity.

Among the most prominent independent syndicates that emerged within the labor arena distinguished by their programmatic activism, systemic struggle, and structural resilience despite persisting structural impediments and administrative pressures designed to curtail their efficacy are the following key organizations (the selection being non-exhaustive):

- **The Independent National Council of Secondary and Technical Education Teachers (CNAPEST):** The council formally submitted its registration file as an independent trade union within the national education sector to the Ministry of Labor on April 29, 2003, subsequently securing its official legal accreditation on July 10, 2007. Commanding a dense membership of approximately 64,000 registered members, one of its most landmark achievements includes

driving a systemic wage optimization for the national education workforce, which encompasses half a million civil servants and employees.

- **The National Council of Higher Education Teachers (CNES):** Established during the foundational strike waves of 1989, this council was catalyzed by a grassroots mobilization of university faculty. The movement was triggered by the acute housing crisis, eroding salary scales, bureaucratic procrastination regarding tenure by the oversight administration, and the general degradation of socio-professional conditions confronting academic staff within the higher education sector.
- **The National Syndicate of Public Health Practitioners (SNPSP):** Constituted on May 15, 1991, this syndicate aggregates diverse professional categories within the public health sector, including general practitioners, dental surgeons, and pharmacists. Its organizational density encompasses 8,945 registered members out of an aggregate sectorial workforce of approximately 25,000 medical practitioners.

Third: Employers' Associations (Employers' Syndicates)

Following the structural transition toward a market economy, employers' associations emerged in Algeria as a formidable, proactive force. The state formally recognized their institutional leverage by integrating them into social dialogues and macroeconomic negotiations within the framework of the Tripartite meetings (*Les réunions de la Tripartite*). This bloc of employers' associations fundamentally comprises the Algerian Employers' Confederation (CAP), the General Confederation of Algerian Enterprises (CGEA), and the National Confederation of Algerian Employers (CNPA).

Furthermore, in the year 2000, Algerian entrepreneurs and business leaders organized themselves into a structured forum. In addition to private sector employers, this forum aggregates state-owned public enterprises to facilitate institutional dialogue and deliberation on multifaceted economic and social dilemmas directly impacting the national economic reform agenda.

Crucially, employers' organizations have evolved into paramount actors in formulating national economic policy. This trajectory aligns with the institutional norms championed and disseminated by the International Labour Organization (ILO) via Convention No. 144 of 1974 concerning Tripartite Consultations. This framework was subsequently adopted by the Arab Labour Organization through the "*Declaration of Principles on Arab Social Dialogue*," which underscores the fundamental necessity and structural importance of tripartite consultation among governments, employers' organizations, and trade unions.

Subsection II: The Dilemma of Trade Union-State Relations

Trade unions operating within the contemporary labor arena confront highly disparate modes of treatment by the state apparatus. Empirical reality demonstrates that the state's interaction with the General Union of Algerian Workers (*UGTA*) diverges radically from its institutional engagement with independent syndicates. This asymmetry poses a profound structural dilemma for labor activism, further compounded by the state-sponsored creation of parallel, loyalist unions. This phenomenon is examined as follows:

Branch I: State-Sponsored Favoritism and Structural Discrimination Among Syndicates

Evidently, the 1989 Constitution operationalized through Law No. 90-14 was intended to inaugurate a pluralistic trade union space wherein institutional differentiation among labor organizations would be strictly contingent upon legally prescribed representational thresholds. However, the state's empirical practices and practical interactions with these organizations have manifested a contrary reality.

Crucially, the ruling authorities persist in withholding substantive, practical recognition from autonomous (independent) syndicates, notwithstanding the passage of more than two decades since the statutory codification of trade union rights and pluralism. This structural exclusion is underscored by the systemic absence of these autonomous organizations from centralized macroeconomic dialogues, whether bipartite or tripartite. This occurs within a facade democracy (*Démocratie de façade*) that seeks to optimize its external international image at the expense of domestic labor grievances.

Consequently, the state has systematically safeguarded the institutional hegemony of the *UGTA*, despite the constitutional transition to pluralism. The federation has been maintained as the exclusive, officially sanctioned representative of the Algerian workforce across various sectorial cleavages. This institutional preference is tangibly manifested in the state's operational practices, as it selectively restricts its national-level negotiations; specifically within the matrix of bipartite or tripartite social dialogues to this single labor entity.

This structural bias is legally anchored in the fact that Law No. 90-14 exempted this central trade union from the stringent representational criteria outlined in Articles 35 and 36, which govern participation in bipartite or tripartite social dialogues. Specifically, Article 34 of the same law exempted any salaried workers' trade union established six months prior to the promulgation of this statute from the mandatory verification of these representational thresholds. By design, the sole labor organization benefiting from this exceptional grandfather clause was the *UGTA*, thereby granting it a pre-orchestrated, prescriptive legal representativeness.

Consequently, the *UGTA* functions as the exclusive labor organization participating in national social dialogue, whether through its selective inclusion in bipartite or tripartite negotiations to deliberate on social legislation or the optimization of workers' socio-professional conditions. The state has consistently maintained as reaffirmed by successive Ministers of Labor, Employment, and Social Security; that the Tripartite framework serves as the privileged mechanism for systemic consultation among the prime movers of the national economy, operationalized through regular bipartite or tripartite sessions between economic and social partners.

In empirical reality, however, this mechanism functions as a ritualistic, superficial dialogue (*Dialogue de façade*). It is structurally anchored in the authorities' persistent choice to treat the *UGTA* as the sole legitimate social partner, while systematically marginalizing independent trade unions and excluding them from macro-level decision-making. Consequently, the outputs of this dialogue remain highly constrained and largely disconnected from the socio-economic realities of the workforce.

This systemic institutional posture was explicitly underscored by the President of the Republic in a public statement on February 23, 2005, wherein he declared that the state recognizes no entity other than the *UGTA* as the representative of the Algerian workforce. In a complementary dynamic, during the mobilization for the 2014 presidential elections, the General Secretary of the *UGTA*, Abdelmadjid Sidi Said, formally announced the central syndicate's endorsement of President Abdelaziz Bouteflika for a fourth term. He pledged the federation's absolute mobilization during the electoral campaign, framing the fourth mandate as an indispensable prerequisite for maintaining national stability and social progress.

This political exchange definitively illustrates the pattern of mutual political patronage binding the executive leadership to the central labor federation. This configuration places independent trade unions before severe systemic challenges, forcing them into a protracted vanguard struggle to solidify their grassroots density and exert structural pressure on the state to democratize social dialogue by integrating all active social forces into future bipartite and tripartite negotiations.

Branch II: The Phenomenon of Parallel Trade Unions (Clone Syndicates)

The statutory text of Article 5 of Law No. 90-14 is explicit and unambiguous, stipulating that *"any natural or legal person is prohibited from interfering in the management of a trade union organization, except in cases expressly provided for by law."* However, this legal barrier has not deterred the state from engineering multifaceted mechanisms to intervene in the internal governance of autonomous trade unions.

This interference is primarily operationalized through the creation of parallel trade unions (*syndicats clones*), targeting highly active syndicates that command significant leverage among the working-class grassroots. The state orchestrates internal schisms by selectively co-opting and financing more compliant or ideologically aligned factions within the union hierarchy. Through this structural engineering, the ruling authorities aim to thrust a newly manufactured, parallel organization into the public vanguard. In empirical reality, this surrogate entity functions as a compliant proxy, prepared to reach compromises with the government and launch initiatives that serve the state's strategic interests.

Crucially, these co-optation strategies deployed by the state have targeted several independent syndicates to neutralize their activism and enforce institutional compliance. A salient historical example occurred in May 2004 with the National Syndicate of Public Administration Personnel (SNAPAP), which faced a systematic fragmenting attempt following its leadership's explicit refusal to endorse President Abdelaziz Bouteflika for the April presidential elections.

Similarly, the National Council of Higher Education Teachers (CNES) was subjected to analogous containment practices following its pivotal strike on May 13, 2006. The resultant internal tactical disagreements and institutional deviations were actively capitalized upon by the state apparatus, ultimately triggering a profound internal fracture within the council.

Consequently, these divisive strategies utilized by the state in its interactions with syndicates; particularly autonomous ones that contest government policies or legislative agendas to coerce them into structural conformity through fostering dissidents and establishing parallel clone unions,

constitute a persistent, deep-seated crisis plaguing Algerian trade unionism, notwithstanding more than two and a half decades since the formal adoption of political liberalization.

Conclusion

Following a comprehensive analytical examination of trade unionism and the structural dilemmas and impediments plaguing its practice in the wake of Algeria's transition to political liberalization and constitutional pluralism inaugurated by the 1989 Constitution, this study deduces the following findings and recommendations:

First: Research Findings

- **Systemic Patronage Networks:** A robust, symbiotic dynamic of mutual political patronage binds the central labor federation; the General Union of Algerian Workers (*UGTA*) to the ruling authorities, a relationship that becomes overtly manifest during pivotal electoral junctures.
- **De Facto Non-Recognition:** The Algerian authorities continue to withhold substantive, practical recognition from autonomous (independent) trade unions, despite more than two decades since the statutory codification of union rights and pluralism. This structural exclusion is underscored by their systematic omission from centralized bipartite and tripartite social dialogues, reinforcing a facade democracy that prioritizes optimizing its international image over addressing domestic labor grievances.
- **Structural Discrimination and Co-optation:** Contemporary labor activism remains deeply constrained by state-sponsored favoritism and structural discrimination. The state systematically prioritizes its partnership with the central union while conversely marginalizing independent syndicates that contest its policies. Furthermore, the authorities deliberately foster internal dissidents to fragment autonomous unions and establish compliant, parallel clone organizations to rubber-stamp state agendas across various sectors.
- **Political Subordination of Labor Space:** Despite the institutional opportunity structure theoretically afforded by the legislative framework; which formally ratified pluralism, political openness, and freedom of association; the empirical trajectory of trade unionism in Algeria remains structural hostage to the agendas of the political regime.

Second: Policy Recommendations

Grounded in the aforementioned empirical findings, this study posits the following policy recommendations:

- **The Institutional Separation of Powers:** The imperative formulation of clear, robust legal mechanisms and statutory provisions to decisively decouple trade union activism from political alignment, thereby ensuring that labor organizations cease to function as instruments or hostages of political actors.
- **The Democratization of the Public Sphere:** The realization of tangible, substantive freedoms on the ground, specifically by dismantling the state-sanctioned monopolies and preferential advantages traditionally accorded to the legacy central union.
- **Legislative Modernization:** Recognizing that the current legal framework governing labor relations has failed to align with the spirit of openness inaugurated by the 1989 pluralist

Constitution and subsequent amendments, it is vital to overcome the extant statutory stagnation by comprehensively modernizing labor legislation to adapt to contemporary socioeconomic realities and national transformations.

Bibliography

Books:

- Al-Alusi, Raad Saleh. *Al-Ta'addudiyyah al-Siyasiyyah fi Alam al-Janub* [Political Pluralism in the Global South]. 1st ed. Amman: Dar Majdalawi, 2006.
- Al-Kuwari, Ali Khalifa. *Madkhal ila al-Dimuqratiyyah fi al-Buldan al-Arabiyyah* [Introduction to Democracy in Arab Countries]. 1st ed. Beirut: Center for Arab Unity Studies, 2003.
- Aron, Raymond. *Sira' al-Tabaqat* [Class Struggle]. Translated by Abdelhamid al-Kattab. 1st ed. Beirut: Oweidat Publications, 1980.
- Awad, Jaber Said. *Mafhum al-Ta'addudiyyah fi al-Adabiyyat al-Gharbiyyah al-Mu'asirah* [The Concept of Pluralism in Contemporary Western Literature]. Cairo: Center for Political Research and Studies, 1993.
- Barama, Omar. *Al-Jaza'ir fi Marhalah Intiqaliyyah: Ahdath wa Mawafiq* [Algeria in a Transition Period: Events and Positions]. Ain M'lila: Dar El-Houda for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, 2001.
- Chaabane, Sadok. *Ben Ali wa al-Tariq ila al-Ta'addudiyyah* [Ben Ali and the Path to Pluralism]. Tunis: Cérès Productions, 1995.
- Djabi, Abdenacer. *Al-Idarah al-Rashidah li-Khidmat al-Tanmiyah fi al-Buldan al-Arabiyyah* [Good Governance for Development in Arab Countries]. Algiers: Dar El-Hikma, 1995.
- El-Manouni, Abdellatif, and Mohamed Abbad. *Al-Harakah al-Ummaliyyah al-Maghribiyyah: Sira'at wa Tahawwulat* [The Moroccan Labor Movement: Conflicts and Transformations]. 1st ed. Morocco: Dar Toubkal, 1985.
- Ibn Manzur, Abu al-Fadl. *Lisan al-Arab* [The Tongue of the Arabs]. Cairo: Dar Al-Maaref, 1953.
- Ismail, Mohamed Ahmed. *Al-Qanun al-Niqabi* [Trade Union Law]. Cairo: Dar Al-Nahda, 2006.
- Menesy, Ahmed. *Al-Tahawwul al-Dimuqrati fi Duwal al-Maghrib al-Arabi* [Democratic Transition in the Maghreb States]. Cairo: Al-Ahram Center for Political and Strategic Studies, 2004.
- Mesbah, Amer. *Manhajiyyat al-Bahth fi al-Ulum al-Siyasiyyah wa al-I'lam* [Research Methodology in Political Science and Media]. Algiers: Office des Publications Universitaires (OPU), 2010.
- Odja, Djilali. *Al-Wajiz fi Qanun al-Amal wa al-Himayah al-Ijtima'iyyah* [The Concise Guide to Labor Law and Social Protection]. Algiers: Dar Al-Khaldounia for Publishing and Distribution, 2005.
- Samghouni, Zakaria. *Hurriyyat Mumarasat al-Haqq al-Niqabi* [Freedom of Exercising Trade Union Rights]. Ain M'lila: Dar El-Houda for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, 2013.
- Shohaib, Mohamed Ali. *Al-Suluk al-Insani fi al-Tanzim* [Human Behavior in Organization]. 2nd ed. Cairo: Dar Al-Fikr, 1976.
- Thaalabi, Issam Toulbi. *Madkhal ila Tarikh al-Qanun al-Niqabi* [Introduction to the History of Trade Union Law]. Algiers: Dar Houma for Printing and Publishing, 2014.
- Ziadeh, Maan. *Al-Mawsu'ah al-Arabiyyah al-Falsafiyyah* [The Arab Philosophical Encyclopedia]. Vol. 2. Beirut: Institute of Arab Development, 1988.

Scientific Journals and Articles:

- Arab Labour Conference. 'Ilan Mabadi' bi-Sha'n al-Hiwar al-Ijtima'i fi al-Buldan al-Arabiyyah [Declaration of Principles on Social Dialogue in Arab Countries]. 39th Session, Beirut, April 2012.
- Bouzeghina, Issa. "Al-Niqabiyyah fi al-Jaza'ir: Musahamah Susiyulujiyyah fi Tahlil Madmun al-Khitab al-Niqabi li al-Ittihad al-Aam li al-Ummal al-Jaza'iriyyin min Khilal Mutamaratihi 18 ila 1990" [Trade Unionism in Algeria: A Sociological Contribution to the Discourse Analysis of the UGTA through its 18th Congress to 1990]. Conference Paper / Academic Presentation, Institute of Sociology, University of Algiers, 1993.

Conference Proceedings and Presentations:

- Minister of Labor, Employment, and Social Security. Presentation delivered at the 33rd General Assembly of the Organization of African Trade Union Unity (OATUU), Algiers, February 9–10, 2009.
- Saadawi, Amr Abdelkarim. "Al-Ta'addudiyyah al-Siyasiyyah fi al-Alam al-Thalith: Al-Jaza'ir Namudhajan" [Political Pluralism in the Third World: Algeria as a Model]. *Al-Siyassa Al-Dawliya* [International Politics], no. 138 (1999).
- Thawrah wa al-Amal [Revolution and Labor] Magazine. Issue 349. Algiers.
- Zamouche, Fouzia. "Ijtima'at al-Thulathiyyah wa Dawruha fi Tarqiyat al-Hiwar al-Ijtima'i fi al-Jaza'ir" [The Tripartite Meetings and Their Role in Promoting Social Dialogue in Algeria]. *Journal of Political and Administrative Research*, vol. 2, no. 9 (n.d.).

Academic Dissertations and Theses:

- Boulaanasar, El-Zoubair. "Al-Harakah al-Niqabiyyah fi al-Jaza'ir fi Zill al-Tajribah al-Dimuqratiyyah" [The Trade Union Movement in Algeria under the Democratic Experience]. Master's thesis in Political and Administrative Organization, Faculty of Political Science and Information, University of Algiers 3, 2010–2011.
- Chottibi, Hanan. "Al-Harakah al-Niqabiyyah al-Ummaliyyah fi al-Jami'ah al-Jaza'iriyyah" [The Labor Union Movement in the Algerian University]. Master's thesis in Human Resource Management, Doctoral School, University of Constantine, 2009–2010.
- Djeha, Zahira. "Al-Niqabah fi al-Mu'assasah al-Sina'iyyah al-Jaza'iriyyah" [The Trade Union in the Algerian Industrial Enterprise]. Master's thesis in Sociology, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Constantine 2, 2012–2013.
- Marzouki, Omar. "Hurriyyat al-Ra'i wa al-Ta'bir fi al-Jaza'ir fi Zill al-Tahawwul al-Dimuqrati (1989–2004)" [Freedom of Opinion and Expression in Algeria under Democratic Transition (1989–2004)]. Master's thesis in Political and Administrative Organization, Faculty of Political Science and Information, University of Algiers, 2005.
- Miloud, Kacem. "Ishkaliyyat Amal al-Munazzamah al-Niqabiyyah fi Zill al-Tahawwulat al-Iqtisadiyyah: Dirasat Halat al-Jaza'ir, Tunis wa al-Maghrib" [The Dilemma of Trade Union Organization Functioning under Economic Transformations: A Case Study of Algeria,

- Tunisia, and Morocco]. PhD diss. in Political and Administrative Organization, Faculty of Political Science and Information, University of Algiers, 2010.
- Ouknoun, Bahia. "Al-Harakah al-Niqabiyyah fi al-Jaza'ir min al-Ahadiyyah ila al-Ta'addudiyyah" [The Trade Union Movement in Algeria from Monism to Pluralism]. Master's thesis, University of Algiers, Faculty of Political Science and Information, 2004.
- Thamri, Omar. "Al-Ta'addudiyyah al-Niqabiyyah min al-Hazr ila al-Ta'addud" [Trade Union Pluralism from Prohibition to Pluralism]. PhD diss., Faculty of Law (Ben Aknoun), University of Algiers 1, 2012-2013.

Official Documents and Legislation:

- People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. Al-Dustur [The Constitution of 1989].
- People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. Journal Officiel de la République Algérienne [Official Gazette]. Ordinance No. 96-12, concerning representativeness, issue 36, dated December 1, 1996.
- People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. Journal Officiel de la République Algérienne [Official Gazette]. Law No. 90-14, issue 17, 1990.